

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 05 October 2000  
Name of applicant/court: Secretary for Internal Affairs  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: Players Nasty Vol. 2 No. 6  
Other Known Titles: Players Nasty March 1999  
Director: Not Applicable  
Producer: Not Applicable  
Publisher: Players International Publications  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Magazine  
Country of Origin: USA  
Language: English

.....

**Components of film originally examined:** Not Applicable.

Feature:	Running time:
Trailers:	Running time:
	Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

#### **SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The magazine entitled *Players Nasty Volume 2 Number 6* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

*Players Nasty Volume 2 Number 6* is a sexually explicit magazine, both textually and visually which aims to sexually arouse the reader. It contains advertisements for sexual products and services, stories, photographs, photospreads, letters from readers, and sex video reviews. The focus of the publication is on single African-American women displaying their genitals.

The magazine is deemed objectionable under s3(2)(d) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 (FVPC Act) because it promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The basis for this finding is the magazine presentation of photographs and text depicting and alluding to a female urinating in the context of an explicit sexual encounter aimed at sexually arousing the reader.

The New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 (NZBR Act) has been considered in relation to this publication. As a deeming provision, s3(2) of the FVPC Act is evidence of Parliament's intention that publications to which those criteria apply should be banned. It is therefore not possible to give s3(2) an interpretation that is consistent with the freedom of expression contained in the NZBR Act. The classification of "objectionable" is the only restriction on the freedom of expression that is available to prevent injury to the public good, and which is consistent with Parliament's intention that publications such as *Players Nasty Volume 2 Number 6* should be banned.

OFLC Ref: 200